गए उत्तर को देखेंगे और यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि दुर्गापुर इस्पात संयंत्र के आधुनिकीकरण-कार्य में इतना अधिक विलम्ब होने के क्या कारण हैं और क्या वात-भट्टी को अक्तूबर, 1992 में चालू किया जाना निर्धारित किया गया था और यदि हां, तो इसे कब तक चालू किये जाने की संभावना है ?

इस्पात मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री संतोष मोहन देव) : दुर्गापुर इस्पात संयंत्र के आधुनिकीकरण के क्रियान्वयन में उसकी निर्धारित समय सूची मार्च, 1993 में काम पूरा न होने के मुख्य कारण निम्नलिखित हैं :–

(i) संरचनात्मक और उपस्करों की सप्लाई में विलम्ब ।

(ii) कार्य की मात्रा में वृद्धि विशेष रूप से धमन भट्टी और सिन्टर संयंत्र पैकेजों में सिविल और संरचनात्मक कार्यों के बारे में ।

(iii) स्थल कार्य के लिए पर्याप्त संसाधनों का न होना ।

(iv) विभिन्न पैकेजों के लिए उपस्करों की सप्लाई में विलम्ब ।

मूल समय-सूची के अनुसार 3 भट्टियों का पुनर्निर्माण कार्य जनवरी, 1993 तक पूरा किया जाना था। इस समय-सूची के स्थान पर संभावना है कि प्रथम-धमन भट्टी पुनर्निर्माण के बाद जून, 1993 तक चालू कर ली जाएगी। तत्पश्चात् अन्य दो धमन भट्टियों को पुनर्निर्माण और चालू करने के लिए क्रमिक रूप से शुरू किए जाने की संभावना है ।

Increase in Price of Steel

622. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA:

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA;

Will the Minister of STEEL, be pleased to state:

(a) whether the prices of Iron and SteeL items have been increased by the Steel Authority of India Ltd. recently; and

(b) if so, the details of the increase made and reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF STEEL, (SHRI faONTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) and *(b):* SAIL, has revised its prices of iron and steel materials with effect Horn the midnight of 2nd|3rd Feb ruary, 1993 with a view to rationa lise the price structure and also to partially compensate for the escala tion in input costs. Comparative statement indicating ex-works prices of representative iron and steel items prior to and as on 3rd February, 1993 is given at Annexure (See Appendix C! XVI, Annexure No. 10)

Allocation of Funds for Nehru Rojgar Yojana

623. MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether there have been a cep fall in allocation of funds for the Nehru Rozgar Yojana this year (1992-93), if so, the comparative allocation figures for 1990-91, 1991.92 and 1992 93, State.wise and Union Territory wise

(b) the allocations per thousand unemployed youth in each State and Union Territory during these years; and

(.c) whether any provisions for reservation of specified quota of allocations to SC and ST categories of unemployed youth have been made under the Yojana, if so, the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) Yes Sir. A state, ment showing the details of Central

funds released to the States and Union Territories during 1990-91 and 1991-92 and the amount tentatively allocated for the year 1992-93 is enclosed. (See below)

(b) Allocation of funds to States UTs is made according to the inci dence of Urban poverty and not in relation to the total level of unem ployment in urban areas.

(c) The main target group of the Yojana is the urban poor. Within this main target group, beneficiaries belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and Women cons titute special target groups. The Operational Guidelines of the Nehru Rozgar Yojana stipulate that, with s view to ensuring adequate repre sentation to beneficiaries belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in the programme, State Government|UT Aministrations should allocate funds proportionate to their share in the urban population for beneficiaries belonging to these social segments.

Statement

*Statement showing Central funds released during I990-9I and /*991-92 *and funds tentatively allocated for the year 1992-93 under the Nehru Rozgar Yojana*

Name of the State/UT	(Rs.in lakhs) relaased in 1990-91	(Rs. in lakhs) released in 1991-92	(Rs. in lahhs) Tentative allocation for 1992-93
Andhra Pradesh	812.29	794.80	504.80
Bihar	894.71	670.05	533.10
Gujarat	373.97	291.15	240.00
Haryana	160.95	163.70	104.90
Karnataka	868.72	793.50	511.50
Kerala	335.78	318.80	206.30
Madhya Pradesh	855.40	797.80	529.60
Maharashtra	1087.63	1018.10	652.50
Orissa	316.60	281.70	185.40
Punjab	304.04	270.90	178.60
Rajasthan	531.38	561.10	355.60
Tamil Nadu	914.04	892.90	588.10
Uttar Pradesh	2353.29	2092.90	1374.50
West Bengal	712.01	561.10	462.40

1	2	3	
Goa	26.04	37.90	23.10
Arunachal Pradesh	25.46	31.50	32.60
Assam	186.12	187.50	128.50
Himachal Pradesh	54.96	78.90	63.30
Jammu & Kashmir	35.28	91.10	86.50
Manipur	39.42	49.50	40.90
Meghalaya	28.15	47.20	36.90
Mizoram	19.70	34.60	24.30
Nagaland	33.02	38.20	39.80
Sikkim	21.86	27.90	28.90
Tripura	19.24	34.50	24.10
A & N Islands.	9.05	11.90	13.10
Chandigarh	15.67	21.70	18.70
D & N Haveli	7.12	10.80	12.30
Daman & Diu	14.73	18.70	21.80
Pondicherry	26.88	17.70	17.90
Delhi.	20.33	40.00	40.00
Central Govt. (for Salary etc.)	20.00	20.00	20.00
Lakshadweep	4.40	..	..
Total:	11233.75	10300.00	7100.00

Cadre Review of Group 'C and *'D'* Staff of CPWD

624. SHRI N. GIRI PRASAD: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2487 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 13th December, 1991 and state:

(a) whether any cadre review has since been undertaken in respect of Groups 'C and 'D' regular transferred category staff and industrial staff of C.P.W.D.; and .

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY *OT* WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. FK. THUNGON): (a) and (b): The cadre review proposals of Gr. 'C staff were considered yb Government! and it has been decided that these proposals may be taken up only after the study of the CPWD by the Staff Inspection Unit of the Min, of Finance is completed. As regards, Cadre review of Gr. 'D* staff Gov erment bad issued instructions regarding institute promotions to the